This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,807		01/22/2001	Yasuyuki Murakami	81942.0011	8416
26021	7590	07/07/2004	,	EXAMINER	
		SON L.L.P.	MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	90071-2611	2131		

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/766,807	MURAKAMI, YASUYUKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aravind K Moorthy	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) owill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	ation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/22/01, 2/9/04</u> .	6) Other:	,				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	etion Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 06300401				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-11 are pending in the application.
- 2. Claims 1-11 have been rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sako et al U.S. Patent No. 6,169,803 B1.

As to claims 1, 3, 8, 10 and 11, Sako et al discloses a common key generating method for generating a common key used in performing an encryption process of encrypting a plaintext into a ciphertext and a decryption process of decrypting the ciphertext into the plaintext mutually between a plurality of entities, comprising the steps of:

obtaining a secret key of one of the entities generated using identification information of the one entity; and

generating a common key based on the obtained secret key and identification information of the other entity as a communicating party,

wherein, if the identification information of the other entity lacks a component, the common key is generated after adding a part of components of the identification information of the one entity to the identification information of the other entity [column 6 line 50 to column 7 line 25].

As to claim 5, Sako et al discloses a cryptographic communication method for transmitting information in ciphertext form between first and second entities, comprising the steps of:

sending secret keys generated using identification information of the first and second entities from a key issuing agency to the respective entities;

at the first entity, if the identification information of the second entity lacks a component, adding a part of components of the identification information of the first entity to the identification information of the second entity, and generating a first common key based on the secret key of the first entity sent from the key issuing agency and the identification information of the second entity to which the part of components has been added [column 6 line 50 to column 7 line 25];

at the first entity, encrypting a plaintext into a ciphertext by using the generated first common key, and transmitting the ciphertext to the second entity [column 10 line 64 to column 11 line 43];

Application/Control Number: 09/766,807

Art Unit: 2131

at the second entity, if the identification information of the first entity lacks a component, adding a part of components of the identification information of the second entity to the identification information of the first entity, and generating a second common key identical with the first common key, based on the secret key of the second entity sent from the key issuing agency and the identification information of the first entity to which the part of components has been added [column 6 line 50 to column 7 line 25]; and

at the second entity, decrypting the transmitted ciphertext into a plaintext by using the generated second common key [column 11, lines 44-58].

As to claim 7, Sako et al suggests a plurality of key issuing agencies are present, and each of the key issuing agencies generates secret keys of the first and second entities by using divided identification information obtained by dividing the respective identification information of the first and second entities [column 6 line 50 to column 7 line 25].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sako et al U.S. Patent No. 6,169,803 B1 as applied to claims 1, 3 and 8 above, and further in view of Lee et al U.S. Patent No. 5,958,007.

As to claims 2, 4, 6 and 9, Sako et al does not teach that the identification information is an electronic mail address, and the part of components is a domain name.

Lee et al teaches the domain part of an electronic email address for identification

information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to have modified Sako et al so that the identification information

would have been the domain name part of an electronic mail address.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have modified Sako et al by the teaching of Lee et al because it allows a

user at a remote location to obtain the key securely [column 2, lines 28-36].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Aravind K Moorthy whose telephone number is 703-305-1373.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aravind K Moorthy June 30, 2004

ŝupervisory patent examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100